

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/967,094	(09/28/2001	Siddhartha Bhowmik	Bhowmik 12-146-1/075903-3		
29391	7590	01/04/2005		EXAM	INER	
BEUSSE B 390 NORTH		EE WOLTER MO	ERDEM	ERDEM, FAZLI		
SUITE 2500		211, 21, 02		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ORLANDO	, FL 328	01		2826		

DATE MAILED: 01/04/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				X(_
₹,		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		09/967,094	BHOWMIK ET AL.	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Fazli Erdem	2826	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with t	he correspondence addres	SS
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In a period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply or period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30 vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS , cause the application to become ABAND	be timely filed)) days will be considered timely. from the mailing date of this commu	inication.
Status				
1)⊠ 2a)⊠ 3)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 States This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under Expression 1.	action is non-final.		erits is
Disposit	ion of Claims			
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) <u>12-16</u> is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1,3,4,7,9,11 and 17</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) <u>2,5,6,8,10,18 and 19</u> is/are objected to Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.		
Applicat	ion Papers			
10)□	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. ion is required if the drawing(s) in	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s objected to. See 37 CFR 1	• •
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•	
12) [a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Appl rity documents have been rec u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ication No eived in this National Sta	ge
Attachmen	t(s)			
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔲 Inforr	re of References Cited (PTO-892) re of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/M	nary (PTO-413) ail Date nal Patent Application (PTO-152	()

Application/Control Number: 09/967,094

Art Unit: 2826

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Response to Amendment

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed 9/30/2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Lee et al. disclose a tungsten layer disposed between a tungsten plug and the adhesion layer. Lee et al. fail to disclose the adhesion layer to be tungsten silicide. However, Cadien et al. disclose tungsten silicide adhesion layer. Examiner agrees with the applicant's representative that neither neither Lee et al. nor Cadien et al. by themselves disclose the required interconnection structure. However, their combination, with the necessary motivation do teach the whole claimed invention.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 2. Claims 12-16 allowed.
- 3. Claims 2, 5, 6, 8, 10, 18 and 19 objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2826

4. Claims 1, 3, 4, 7, 9, 11 and 17 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lee et al. (5,956,609) in view of Cadien et al. (5,604,158).

Regarding Claims 1, 3, 4, 7, 9, 11 and 17, Lee et al. disclose a method for reducing stress and improving step-coverage of tungsten interconnects and plugs where in Fig. 3E, a tungsten layer 52 is situated between a tungsten plug 54 and adhesion layer 50. Lee et al. fail to disclose the adhesion layer to be tungsten silicide. However, Cadien et al. disclose an integrated tungsten/tungsten silicide plug process where in Fig. 3C, the tungsten silicide adhesion layer is disclosed.

It would have been obvious to one of having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include the required tungsten silicide adhesion layer in Lee et al. as taught by Cadien et al. in order to have a semiconductor device with higher performance.

Conclusion

2. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

Application/Control Number: 09/967,094

Art Unit: 2826

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Page 4

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Fazli Erdem whose telephone number is (571) 272-1914. The examiner can normally be reached on M - F 8:00 - 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nathan Flynn can be reached on (571) 272-1915. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

FE December 25, 2004